

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAWN WIGGINS,

No. C 12-05430 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER REOPENING ACTION**

BARBARA HOUSELY, et al.,

Defendants.

A prior order closed this action on the grounds that it was defective appeal. The action was properly transferred, however, from this district's bankruptcy court under 28 U.S.C. Section 157(c)(1), which provides that when a bankruptcy court is not empowered to enter a final judgment it must transmit its proposed findings and conclusions to the district court for review and entry of judgment.


Accordingly, the order closing the action in Docket Number 3 is **VACATED**. The Clerk is directed to **REOPEN** the action.

In the bankruptcy action, defendant Zephyr did not consent to entry of a final judgment by the bankruptcy court. Defendant Zephyr shall file its objections to the judgment of the bankruptcy court in a brief not to exceed ten (10) pages in length by **JANUARY 17 AT NOON**.

1 Plaintiff shall file an opposition, if any, in a brief of equal or lesser length by **JANUARY 31 AT**  
2 **NOON**. No further reply will be allowed by defendant. The Court will then take the matter under  
3 submission and issue an order.

4  
5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 Dated: December 31, 2012.

  
8 WILLIAM ALSUP  
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28